Date: May 6, 2021

From: Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CIIIO), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Title: 2022 Final Letter to Issuers in the Federally-facilitated Exchanges

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) is releasing this 2022 Final Letter to Issuers in the Federally-facilitated Exchanges (2022 Final Letter). This 2022 Final Letter provides updates on operational and technical guidance for the 2022 plan year for issuers seeking to offer qualified health plans (QHPs), including stand-alone dental plans (SADPs), in the Federally-facilitated Exchanges (FFE) or the Federally-facilitated Small Business Health Options Programs (FF-SHOPs). It also describes how parts of this 2022 Final Letter apply to issuers in State-based Exchanges on the Federal Platform (SBE-FPs). Issuers should refer to these updates to help them successfully participate in any such Exchange in 2022. Unless otherwise specified, references to the FFs include the FF-SHOPs.

The 2022 Final Letter focuses on guidance that has been updated for the 2022 plan year, and refers issuers to the 2017 through 2021 Letters to Issuers in the Federally-facilitated Exchanges in all instances where CMS guidance has not changed. CMS notes that the policies articulated in this 2022 Final Letter apply to the QHP certification process for plan years beginning in 2022.

---

1 The contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind the public in any way, unless specifically incorporated into a contract. This document is intended only to provide clarity to the public regarding existing requirements under the law.


3 Plan years in the FF-SHOPs will not always align with calendar year 2022.
Throughout this 2022 Final Letter, CMS identifies the areas in which states performing plan management functions in the FFEs have flexibility to follow an approach different from that articulated in this guidance.

The 2022 Final Letter is also more streamlined than in prior years, and sections not directly related to operational and technical guidance concerning QHP certification in the FFEs were removed. These include sections in the 2021 and previous Letters to Issuers about standards for direct enrollment entities and for third parties to perform audits of direct enrollment entities,4 non-compliance involving agents and brokers, information specific to FF-SHOPS5 not directly related to QHP certification, and information about SBE-FPs. Information for these sections is available in previous Letters to Issuers, and going forward, any new guidance related to these topics will be in guidance specific to each of these topics.

Previously published rules concerning market-wide and QHP certification standards, eligibility and enrollment procedures, and other Exchange-related topics are set out in 45 CFR Subtitle A, Subchapter B. Unless otherwise indicated, regulatory references in this 2022 Final Letter are to Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).6 While certain parts of the 2022 Final Letter explain associated regulatory requirements, the 2022 Final Letter is not a complete list of regulatory requirements for issuers.

---


5 CMS guidance regarding FF-SHOPS remains unchanged from the 2021 plan year. In the 2019 Payment Notice Final Rule, CMS finalized changes to how the FF-SHOPS operate. Issuers should reference the CMS SHOP resources webpage available at: https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/Health-Insurance-Marketplaces/SHOP to access the 2019 Payment Notice Final Rule and current operational details on FF-SHOPS.
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CHAPTER 1: CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR QUALIFIED HEALTH PLANS

(This chapter relies on authority from Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) sections 1311(c) and (e) and 1321(a); and 45 CFR 147.106, Part 150, Part 155 Subpart K, 155.335(j), 156.200, 156.272, and 156.290.)

The ACA and applicable regulations provide that health plans, including SADPs, must meet a number of standards in order to be certified as QHPs. Several of these are market-wide standards that apply to plans offered in the individual and small group markets, both inside and outside of the Exchanges. The remaining standards are specific to health plans seeking QHP certification from the Exchanges.

This chapter provides an overview of the QHP certification process. This process applies to all states in which an FFE operates, which include (1) states performing plan management functions and making QHP certification recommendations to CMS, (2) states where CMS is performing all plan management functions and certifying QHPs while the state is enforcing the market-wide standards under the ACA, and (3) direct enforcement states where CMS is performing plan management functions and enforcing market-wide standards under the ACA (but the state continues to enforce state law requirements with which issuers must comply). Additional information and instructions about the process for issuers to complete a QHP application can be found at https://www.qhpcertification.cms.gov.

Section 1. QHP Certification Process

CMS expects issuers and state regulatory authorities in states with Exchanges using the federal platform applying for QHP Certification to adhere to the Plan Year 2022 QHP Data Submission Timeline.8

Issuers will submit a complete QHP application for plans they intend to have certified in a state in which an FFE is operating. The “Early Bird” QHP Application submission window is an optional submission window for issuers wishing to submit application data prior to the first formal submission deadline. CMS will review and return results on this data as available prior to the first submission deadline, and if any changes are made in response to CMS-identified needed corrections, CMS will not flag it as a correction in the full review round.

CMS will review QHP applications for all issuers applying for QHP certification in an FFE9 and notify issuers of any need for corrections after each round of review. After the final QHP application submission deadline, issuers may be required to submit corrected final QHP data

---

7 SBE-FPs retain the authority and primary responsibility for the certification of QHPs and should transfer plan data to CMS in accordance with the QHP application submission deadlines as specified in this Letter.


9 In accordance with 45 CFR Part 155 Subpart K, CMS will review, and approve or deny, QHP applications from issuers that are applying to offer QHPs in the FFEs. CMS will not conduct QHP certification reviews of plans that are submitted for offering only outside of the FFEs, except for SADPs seeking off-Exchange certification. In the case of an FF-SHOP QHP certification, except when the QHP is decertified pursuant to 45 CFR 155.1080, the QHP certification remains in effect through the end of any plan year beginning in the calendar year for which the QHP was certified, even if the plan year ends after the calendar year for which the QHP was certified. FFEs will not display ancillary insurance products and health plans that are not QHPs (e.g., stand-alone vision plans, disability, or life insurance products). The FFEs will only offer QHPs, including SADPs.
during a limited data correction window to address CMS or state-identified errors.

CMS will post a list of plans received and reviewed during the QHP application process in each issuer’s profile in the CCIIO Plan Management Community (PM Community). Each issuer will access the plan list and confirm their plans within the PM Community. If an issuer wishes to withdraw a plan from consideration in the QHP Certification process, or to change an on-Exchange SADP under certification consideration to an off-Exchange SADP for certification consideration, the issuer must follow the plan withdrawal process provided by CMS. An issuer’s final plan confirmation to CMS is generally the last opportunity for the issuer to withdraw a plan from certification consideration for the upcoming plan year.

After correcting plan data and finalizing the list of plans offered for certification, issuers intending to offer QHPs, including SADPs, in a state in which an FFE is operating, including states performing plan management functions, will sign and submit to CMS a QHP Certification Agreement and Privacy and Security Agreement (the “QHP Certification Agreement”) and a Senior Officer Acknowledgement.\(^{10}\) CMS will sign the QHP Certification Agreement and return it to issuers along with a final list of certified QHPs, completing the certification process for the upcoming plan year. After receiving the QHP Certification Agreement signed by CMS, issuers may begin marketing their plans as certified QHPs, including providing information about the plans to FFE-registered agents and brokers.

Issuers may have their QHP application denied if they fail to meet the deadlines in the Plan Year 2022 QHP Data Submission Timeline, or if their applications are not accurate or complete after the deadline for issuer submission of changes to the QHP application.\(^{11}\)

Section 2. QHP Application Data Submission

CMS requires issuers, including SADP issuers, to submit complete QHP applications by the initial submission deadline in the Plan Year 2022 QHP Data Submission Timeline and to make necessary updates to the QHP application prior to the last deadline for issuer submission. Additionally, issuers in direct enforcement states must comply with any CMS requirements related to form and rate filings, in addition to any applicable state requirements.\(^{12}\)

All issuers must obtain Health Insurance Oversight System (HIOS) product and plan IDs using HIOS.\(^{13}\) All issuers must also register for the PM Community to receive correction and

---

\(^{10}\) The documents will apply to all of the QHPs offered by a single issuer in an FFE at the Health Insurance Oversight System (HIOS) Issuer ID level or designee company. Issuers should ensure that the legal entity information listed in HIOS under the Issuer General Information section is identical to the legal entity information that will be used when executing the documents.

\(^{11}\) Regulations at 45 CFR 155.1000 provide Exchanges with broad discretion to certify QHPs that otherwise meet the QHP certification standards specified in Part 156, and afford Exchanges the discretion to deny certification of QHPs that meet minimum QHP certification standards, but are not ultimately in the “interest” of qualified individuals and qualified employers.

\(^{12}\) See the list of direct enforcement states that is available at: https://www.cms.gov/cciio/programs-and-initiatives/health-insurance-market-reforms/compliance.html Currently, the states in which HHS is directly enforcing the SBC requirements are Missouri, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wyoming.

\(^{13}\) See additional information on HIOS registration is available in the HIOS Portal User Manual, available at: https://www.cms.gov/cciio/Resources/Forms-Reports-and-Other-
certification notices, as well as other relevant communications regarding their QHP applications.  

Issuers applying for QHP certification in FFEs, excluding those in states performing plan management functions, must submit their QHP applications in HIOS.  

Issuers in states performing plan management functions should submit QHP applications in the National Association of Insurance Commissioners’ (NAIC) System for Electronic Rate and Form Filing (SERFF) in accordance with state and CMS review deadlines. Issuers submitting applications for QHP Certification in SERFF should work directly with the state to submit all QHP issuer application data in accordance with state guidance. For all states, issuers seeking to offer QHPs must also submit the Unified Rate Review Template (URRT) to CMS via the Unified Rate Review module in HIOS.

All issuers applying for QHP certification will access the Plan Preview environment to review plan benefit data and identify and correct data submission errors before the QHP application data submission deadline. Issuers can use Plan Preview to check plan data display for most enrollment scenarios, including service areas, cost sharing for benefits and URLs (including payment redirect). Issuers will use the Plan Preview environment to verify that their plan display reflects their state-approved filings. Issuers in states performing plan management functions in the FFEs will be able to view their plan data after the state transfers QHP data from SERFF to HIOS. Issuers must first submit rates data to CMS in order to use Plan Preview.

Discrepancies between an issuer’s QHP application and approved state filings may result in a plan not being certified, or if CMS has already certified a plan as a QHP, decertification or other appropriate compliance or enforcement action. All issuers must complete quality assurance activities to ensure the completeness and accuracy of QHP application data, including reviewing plan data in the Plan Preview environment.

Section 3. QHP Data Changes

CMS will allow issuers to make changes to their QHP application based on the guidelines below. These changes are in addition to any corrections that CMS identifies during its review of QHP applications.

[Resources/index.html#Content%20Requirements%20for%20Plan%20Finder] CMS expects issuers to use the same HIOS plan identification numbers for plans, including SADPs, submitted for certification for plan year 2022 that are the same as plans, including SADPs, certified as QHPs for plan year 2021, as defined in 45 CFR 144.103 and pursuant to 45 CFR 147.106. While 45 CFR 147.106 is not applicable to issuers of SADPs, CMS expects SADP issuers to use the same HIOS plan identification numbers for plans submitted for certification for plan year 2021 that are the same as SADPs certified for plan year 2021, even if they have been modified, to the extent the modification(s) are made uniformly and solely pursuant to the removal of the requirement for SADPs to offer the pediatric dental EHB at a specified actuarial value. The same definition of “plan” also will apply to re-enrollment of current enrollees into the same plan, pursuant to 45 CFR 155.335(j). If an issuer chooses to not seek certification of a plan for a subsequent, consecutive certification cycle in the Exchange, or fails to have a plan certified for plan year 2022 that had been certified for plan year 2021, it is subject to the standards outlined in 45 CFR 156.290.

14 CMS will make instructions available in spring 2021 on how to enroll to receive information for the plan year 2022 QHP application period for issuers not currently participating in the PM Community.
15 While some states in which an FFE is operating use the National Association of Insurance Commissioners’ System for Electronic Rate and Form Filing (SERFF) to collect plan data, which may include copies of the QHP templates, that data will not be submitted to CMS in states that do not perform plan management functions, and must be submitted in HIOS.
16 CMS will work with states performing plan management functions in an FFE to ensure that such guidance is consistent with federal regulatory standards and operational timelines.
Table 1.1 outlines the parameters under which issuers may change their submitted QHP data. Issuers may make changes to their QHP applications without state or CMS authorization until the deadline for initial application submission. After the close of the initial QHP application submission window, issuers may not add new plans to a QHP application or change an off-Exchange plan to be both on and off-Exchange. Issuers also may not change plan type(s) or market type and may not change QHPs, excluding SADPs, from a child-only plan to a non-child-only plan. Issuers may only change their service area after CMS approves the change. For all other changes, issuers will be able to upload revised QHP data templates and make other necessary changes to QHP applications in response to state or CMS feedback until the deadline for issuer changes. For all other changes, issuers are also not required to submit data change requests or document state authorization to CMS. CMS will monitor all data changes and contact issuers if there are concerns about changes made.

Table 1.1 *Data Changes*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before the Initial Submission Deadline</th>
<th>Permitted with No State or CMS Authorization Required</th>
<th>Permitted with Authorization*</th>
<th>Not Permitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All data changes permitted.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Between the Initial and Final Data Submission Deadlines
- All changes are permitted, including changes in response to CMS-identified corrections, except where noted.
- Issuers must request and be approved to change their service area.
- Issuers may not:
  - Add new plans to a QHP application;
  - Change an off-Exchange plan to be both on and off-Exchange;
  - Change plan type(s) or market type;
  - Change QHPs, excluding SADPs, from a child-only plan to a non-child-only plan.

| After the Final Submission Deadline | N/A | Issuers may request critical data changes to align with state filings. | Issuers may not change certified QHP data without the explicit direction and |


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permitted with No State or CMS Authorization Required</th>
<th>Permitted with Authorization*</th>
<th>Not Permitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>URLs may be changed with state authorization; CMS authorization is not required.</td>
<td>authorization of CMS and the state.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


To withdraw a plan from QHP certification consideration, an issuer must follow the plan withdrawal process as outlined by CMS at [https://www.qhpCertification.cms.gov](https://www.qhpCertification.cms.gov). After submission of an initial QHP application, an issuer should not remove plan data from the application templates, even if the issuer withdraws a plan. In addition, issuers seeking to change an on-Exchange SADP under certification consideration to an off-Exchange SADP for certification consideration must submit a plan withdrawal request.

After the deadline for issuer changes to QHP applications, issuers will only make corrections directed by CMS or by their state. States may direct changes by contacting CMS with a list of requested corrections. Issuers whose applications are not accurate after the final deadline for issuer submission of changes to the QHP application, and are then required to resubmit corrected data during the limited data correction window, may be subject to compliance action by CMS. Issuer changes made in the limited data correction window not approved by CMS and/or the state may result in compliance action by CMS, which could include decertification and suppression of the issuer’s plans on HealthCare.gov.

After completion of the QHP certification process, CMS may offer additional data correction windows. CMS will only consider approving changes that do not alter the QHP’s certification status or require re-review of data previously approved by the state or CMS. CMS will offer windows for SHOP quarterly rate updates. Administrative data changes such as URL updates should be made in HIOS Plan Finder or the QHP Supplemental Submission Module and do not require a data change request to CMS. URL changes require state authorization prior to being updated.

A request for a data change after the final submission deadline, excluding administrative changes or SHOP quarterly rate updates, may be made due to inaccuracies in or the incompleteness of a QHP application, and may result in compliance action. Discrepancies between the issuer’s QHP application and approved state filings may result in a plan not being certified or a compliance action if CMS has already certified a plan as a QHP. Issuers that request to make changes that affect consumers may have their plans suppressed from display on HealthCare.gov until the data

---

17 See 45 CFR 156.805(a)(5).
Section 4. QHP Review Coordination with States

Each state will define the relevant submission window for state-level reviews as well as dates and processes for corrections and resubmissions. CMS will rely on states’ reviews of issuer-submitted policy forms and rate filings for market-wide standards as part of its QHP certification process, provided that states review for compliance with federal laws and regulations and complete the reviews in a manner consistent with FFE operational timelines. States that have an Effective Rate Review Program should consult guidance from CMS regarding timelines for rate filings for the appropriate plan year coverage.

When states perform QHP certification reviews, they may exercise reasonable flexibility in their application of CMS’ QHP certification standards, provided that the state’s application of each standard is consistent with CMS regulations and guidance. Issuers seeking QHP certification in states that are performing plan management functions in the FFEs should continue to refer to state direction in addition to this guidance.

CMS expects that states will establish the timeline, communication process, and resubmission window for any reviews conducted under state authority. As noted previously, issuers should comply with any state-specific guidelines for review and resubmission related to state review standards. CMS notes that issuers may be required to submit data to state regulators in addition to what is required for QHP certification through the FFEs, if required by a state, and must comply with any requests for resubmissions from the state or from CMS in order to be certified. CMS will seek to coordinate with states so that any state-specific review guidelines and procedures are consistent with applicable federal law and operational deadlines. Issuers must meet all applicable obligations under state law to be certified for sale on the FFEs.

In states performing plan management functions in the FFEs, the state will also review QHP applications for compliance with the standards described in this guidance and will provide a certification recommendation for each plan to CMS. CMS will review the state’s QHP

---

18 States are the primary regulators of health insurers and are responsible for enforcing the market reform provisions in title XXVII of the PHS Act both inside and outside the Exchanges. Under sections 2723 and 2761 of the PHS Act and existing regulations, codified at 45 CFR Part 150, CMS is responsible for enforcing the provisions of Parts A and B of title XXVII of the PHS Act in a state if the state notifies CMS that it has “not enacted legislation to enforce or that it is not otherwise enforcing” one or more of the provisions, or if CMS determines that the state is not substantially enforcing the requirements. As necessary, CMS will provide additional information on enforcement. In direct enforcement states, CMS enforces the market-wide provisions. The list of direct enforcement states is available at: https://www.cms.gov/ccfio/programs-and-initiatives/health-insurance-market-reforms/compliance.html. Issuers in these states should work with CMS in instances in which this guidance references the “state,” but should be aware that they will still generally continue to have some obligations under state law.


20 States performing plan management functions in the FFEs will conduct certification reviews. In addition, all states in the FFE, regardless of whether they perform plan management functions, will conduct certification reviews for certain review areas, as detailed in Chapter 2.
certification recommendations, make QHP certification decisions, and load certified QHP plans on HealthCare.gov. CMS will work closely with states performing plan management functions to coordinate this process. States performing plan management functions must provide CMS with state recommendations for QHP certification along the timeline specified by CMS in order for CMS to consider the recommendations and certify QHPs, or deny certification to QHPs, including SADPs.

For states performing plan management functions in the FFEs, the SERFF data transfer deadlines will align with the HIOS submission deadlines. These state transfers should include all plans submitted to the state for certification, including SADPs for off-Exchange sale.\textsuperscript{21} CMS understands that all state reviews might not be complete by the submission deadlines, but as stated above, requires state confirmation of approval of QHPs for sale prior to CMS certification.

All states are encouraged to provide CMS with feedback regarding certification of QHPs, as well as the status of issuers and plans in relation to state guidelines separate from ACA certification requirements, as early in the certification process as practicable. For CMS to ensure this information is taken into account for certification, states must provide all of their recommendations and relevant information to CMS in a timely manner and no later than the state plan confirmation deadline in the QHP Data Submission Timeline. CMS will provide states with detailed guidance regarding the process for submitting plan approval recommendations to CMS prior to the start of and throughout the QHP certification cycle. CMS will work with all state regulators to confirm by the state plan confirmation deadline that all potential QHPs meet applicable state and federal standards, and are approved for sale in the state.

Section 5. Plan ID Crosswalk

Issuers are required to submit plan ID crosswalk data for each medical QHP and SADP that was certified for the 2021 plan year. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers in the Federally-facilitated Exchanges (2018 Letter to Issuers) for more information regarding submission requirements pertinent to the Plan ID Crosswalk. The approach for 2022 certification with regard to alternate enrollments also remains unchanged from 2018 and later years for QHPs that are not SADPs. SADPs, as plans that offer excepted benefits, are not subject to the guaranteed renewability standards specified at 45 CFR 147.106. However, CMS aims to apply the processes established for the 2021 plan ID Crosswalk Template to SADPs in order to support automatic re-enrollment for plans offered during the 2022 plan year.

Section 6. Value-based Insurance Design

The approach for 2022 remains unchanged from 2021. Please refer to the 2021 Letter to Issuers in the Federally-facilitated Exchanges (2021 Letter to Issuers) for more information.

Section 7. Alternative Payment Models (APMs)

In an effort to improve health outcomes and lower costs, CMS encourages issuers and states to advance efforts to support value-based care and value-based payments across the healthcare system, with a particular emphasis on the individual market population, and to share some possible pathways for adoption of such approaches.

\textsuperscript{21} SBE-FPs should not transfer off-Exchange SADPs.
In addition to value-based insurance design, one such approach is alternative payment models (APMs) through the CMS Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation (Innovation Center). APMs are a payment approach that give an incentive payment to clinicians who provide high-quality, cost-efficient care, and meet other specified criteria. APMs are payment approaches with a reimbursement structure that moves away from fee-for-service payments and provides incentives for high-quality and cost-effective care. APMs can apply to a specific clinical condition, a care episode, or a population, and aim to allow greater provider accountability for care of defined populations by assigning patients to providers and linking payment to outcomes. 22 The Innovation Center is working in consultation with clinicians to increase the number and variety of models 23 available to ensure that a wide range of clinicians, including those in small practices and rural areas, have the option to participate. More information can be found on the Innovation Center website. 24

In addition, the Health Care Payment & Learning Action Network (LAN), a public-private learning collaborative (or network) that CMS launched to drive aligned payment reform, created an APM Framework 25 that classifies APMs into 4 categories and 8 subcategories based on the extent to which payments reward value of services rather than volume of services while establishing a common vocabulary and pathway for measuring and sharing successful payment models. Issuers may wish to use the APM Framework as a resource to drive value in health care delivery, and voluntarily participate in the annual LAN APM measurement effort 26 so that CMS can better track APM participation.

Section 8. Issuer Participation for the Full Plan Year

The approach for 2022 remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information.

CHAPTER 2: QUALIFIED HEALTH PLAN AND STAND-ALONE DENTAL PLAN CERTIFICATION STANDARDS

(This chapter relies on authority from ACA sections 1302, 1311(c) and (e), 1321(a), and 1402; Public Health Service Act (PHS Act) section 2794; and 45 CFR 146.130, 147.136, 147.138, Part 154, 155.1045, 155.1065, 156.115, 156.122, 156.125, 156.150, 156.200, 156.210, 156.221,156.225, 156.230, 156.235, 156.410, 156.420, 156.425, 156.1110-1130, Subpart L, and 156.1250.)

This Chapter provides an overview of key QHP certification standards for both QHPs and SADPs in FFIs, including those in states performing plan management functions, and how CMS or the state will evaluate and conduct reviews of 2022 QHPs and SADPs for compliance.

25 See APM framework available at: https://hcp-lan.org/apm-refresh-white-paper/.
26 See https://hcp-lan.org/apm-measurement-2020/.
Section 1. Licensure and Good Standing

The approach for licensure and good standing remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. Please refer to the Guidance to States on Review of Qualified Health Plan Certification Standards in Federally-facilitated Marketplaces for Plan Years 2018 and Later (“State Guidance on QHP Reviews”) for more information. As noted in the State Guidance on QHP Reviews, CMS does not review issuers’ compliance with licensure and good standing standards. In FFES, including in states performing plan management functions, states will continue to ensure issuer compliance with 45 CFR 156.200(b)(4).

Section 2. Service Area

The approach for reviews of service area remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. Issuers will not be permitted to change their plans’ service area after their initial data submission except via a data change request to CMS. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information.

Section 3. Network Adequacy

The approach for network adequacy remains unchanged from 2021. Please refer to the 2021 Letter to Issuers for additional information. For plan year 2021, CMS found all States participating in FFES to have the required network adequacy means and authority. For plan year 2022, CMS does not anticipate any changes in its assessment of States with the means and authority for network adequacy review. HHS will support State efforts to encourage use of telehealth services, and also strongly encourages all issuers to consider increasing the use of telehealth services as part of their networks to ensure all consumers have access to all covered services.

Section 4. Essential Community Providers

The ECP standard and the approach for reviews of the ECP standard remain unchanged from 2021. Please refer to the 2021 Letter to Issuers for more information. HHS encourages issuers to consider increasing use of telehealth services as part of their contingency planning to ensure access to adequate care for enrollees who might otherwise be cared for by relevant ECP types that may be missing from the issuer’s provider network.

Section 5. Accreditation

The approach for reviews of the accreditation standard remains largely unchanged from 2020. However, in consideration of the announcements by HHS-recognized accrediting entities making modifications to accreditation standards due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, CMS may provide flexibilities with regard to health plan accreditation reviews, as appropriate. HHS

---


encourages issuers to provide their accrediting entity (AE) their Health Insurance Oversight System (HIOS) ID number associated with their organization as they begin to work with the AE(s) on accreditation.

Section 6. Patient Safety Standards for QHP Issuers

The approach for QHP patient safety annual certification standards remains unchanged from 2017 and later years. Please refer to the 2017 Letter to Issuers in the Federally-facilitated Exchanges (2017 Letter to Issuers) for details regarding guidance for QHP issuers who contract with a hospital with more than 50 beds. CMS will continue to assess these standards and any related burden for issuers and hospitals.

Section 7. Quality Reporting

The approach for review of QHP issuer compliance with quality reporting standards related to the QRS and QHP Enrollee Survey remains unchanged from 2018. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information, and to the Quality Rating System and Qualified Health Plan Enrollee Experience Survey: Technical Guidance for 2021 for more detailed information on issuer data collection and reporting requirements for the 2021 calendar year.

At this time, QRS and QHP Enrollee Survey reporting requirements do not apply to indemnity plans, SADPs or to child-only plans offered on Exchanges. The QRS and QHP Enrollee Survey requirements also do not apply to Basic Health Program (BHP) plans.

Section 8. Quality Improvement Strategy

The approach for QHP certification reviews for quality improvement strategy (QIS) reporting remains unchanged from 2018. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information. CMS intends to provide information on the applicable QIS requirements in the forthcoming QIS Technical Guidance and User Guide for the 2022 Plan Year.

At this time, the QIS requirements do not apply to SADPs or to child-only plans offered on Exchanges.

Section 9. Review of Rates

The approach for 2022 remains unchanged from the 2020 Letter to Issuers. Please refer to the 2020 Letter to Issuers and the Unified Rate Review Instructions for more information.33

---

30 The suspension of activities related to the collection of clinical quality measures for the QRS and survey measures for the QHP Enrollee Survey noted in the 2021 Letter to Issuers was specific to the 2021 Plan Year (2020 ratings year).
32 The suspension of reporting QIS data noted in the 2021 Letter to Issuers was specific to the 2021 Plan Year (2020 calendar year).
Section 10. Discriminatory Benefit Design

The approach to discriminatory benefit design remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information regarding discriminatory benefit design, QHP discriminatory benefit design, and the treatment protocol calculator.

Section 11. Prescription Drugs

The approach for reviewing issuers’ prescription drug benefit offerings remains unchanged from 2019 and later years. Please refer to the 2019 Letter to Issuers in the Federally-facilitated Exchanges (2019 Letter to Issuers) for more information.

Section 12. Third Party Payment of Premiums and Cost Sharing

Requirements related to QHP and SADP issuers’ acceptance of third party payments of premiums and cost sharing on behalf of QHP enrollees remain unchanged from 2018. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information.

Section 13. Cost-sharing Reduction Plan Variations

The approach for issuers to provide cost-sharing reductions (CSRs) to consumers through CSR plan variations remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information. Eligible consumers can enroll in these plan variations for the 2022 plan year and will continue to receive cost-sharing reductions provided by the issuers. Beginning October 2017 and beyond, CMS has not made and cannot make CSR payments to issuers, unless Congress appropriates funds for these payments.

Section 14. Data Integrity Review

The approach for conducting data integrity reviews remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information.

Section 15. Interoperability

The Interoperability and Patient Access Final Rule\(^{34}\) was finalized on May 1, 2020. For PY 2022, QHP issuers in FFEs, including FFEs in states performing plan management functions, must implement the requirements at 45 CFR 156.221, which require the implementation and maintenance of a patient access application programming interface (API) and related documentation requirements, or submit a narrative justification that meets the specifications at 45 CFR 156.221(h). As noted in the final rule, the interoperability requirements specifically exclude QHP issuers on the FFEs offering only SADPs or issuers only offering QHPs in the FF–SHOPs. To assess compliance with the requirements, QHP issuers will, as part of regular QHP attestation requirements, attest that they are meeting these requirements or submit a justification as part of the QHP application.

CHAPTER 3: CONSUMER SUPPORT TOOLS AND PUBLIC INFORMATION

(This chapter relies on authority from ACA sections 1311(c) and (e) and 1321(a); and 45 CFR 147.200, 156.122, 156.220, 156.230.)

Section 1. Consumer Support Tools

CMS developed several decision support tools and publishes certain plan data to empower patients to understand their insurance options and select a plan through an FFE or SBE-FP, including through an FF-SHOP. Please see the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information on these features, including provider and formulary search functions and the out-of-pocket cost comparison tool.

Section 2. Transparency in Coverage Reporting

This section provides an overview of the transparency reporting requirements for all QHP issuers, including SADP issuers, in the FFEs, including in states that are performing plan management functions.

Pursuant to 45 CFR 156.220, issuers are required to annually report transparency in coverage data to CMS. CMS submitted its information collection, CMS-10572, “Transparency in Coverage Reporting by Qualified Health Plan Issuers,” Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) to OMB. In April 2019, OMB approved it for an additional 3-year collection period. The data collection elements that QHP issuers reported from 2016 to 2019 remain part of the collection. Beginning with plan year 2020, issuers were required to report the following plan level data reporting: claims received, claims denied, claims denied due to prior authorization or referral required, claims denied due to an out-of-network provider/claim, claim denied due to an exclusion of service, claims denied due to lack of medical necessity (including and excluding behavioral health), and claims denied for “other” reasons. In addition, starting with the 2021 plan year, the transparency in coverage data collection was integrated into the QHP certification data submission process, such that issuers submitted the transparency template in the same manner as other QHP certification templates. Submissions will no longer be collected outside of the QHP certification timeline via an email box. Transparency in Coverage URL submissions should be made in the QHP Supplemental Submission Module.

Section 3. Medical Cost Scenarios

Consumer testing of the summary of benefits and coverage (SBC) shows that hypothetical medical scenarios illustrating the consumer portion of medical costs, such as those found on the SBC, help consumers understand and compare health plan coverage options. CMS will continue to analyze ways to provide additional medical cost scenarios to QHP customers.

CHAPTER 4: STAND-ALONE DENTAL PLANS: 2022 APPROACH

(This chapter relies on authority from ACA sections 1311(c), (d), and (e) and 1321(a); and 45 CFR 156.150.)

The approach for submitting applications for certification of SADPs remains unchanged from 2021. Please refer to the 2018 and 2021 Letters to Issuers for more information.
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Section 1. SADP Annual Limitation on Cost Sharing

For plan year 2022, the SADP annual limitation on cost sharing for one covered child is $350 increased by the 9.303 percentage point increase of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for dental services for 2020 of 500.970 over the CPI of 458.330 for dental services for 2016, increasing the annual limitation on cost-sharing for SADPs by $32.56. The regulation at 45 CFR 156.150(d) requires incremental increases to be rounded down to the next lowest multiple of $25, the annual limitation on cost sharing for SADPs for plan year 2022 will be $375 for one child and $750 for two or more children. For more information on how this limitation is determined, please refer to § 156.150 and to the 2018 Letter to Issuers.

Section 2. SADP Actuarial Value Requirements

The approach to actuarial value requirements and certification for SADP coverage of the pediatric EHB remains unchanged from 2021. For plan year 2022, SADP issuers may offer the pediatric dental EHB at any actuarial value. SADP issuers will be required to certify the actuarial value of each SADP’s coverage of pediatric dental EHB.

CHAPTER 5: QUALIFIED HEALTH PLAN PERFORMANCE AND OVERSIGHT

(This chapter relies on authority from ACA sections 1311(c) and (d), and 1321(a); and 45 C.F.R. § 147.104(e), 45 C.F.R. §§ 155.201, 155.220, 155.221, and 155.1010, and 45 C.F.R. §§ 156.200, 156.225, 156.260, 156.272, 156.340, 156.705, 156.715, and 156.1230.

Guidance on QHP issuer account management, issuer compliance monitoring, issuer compliance reviews, and issuer participation for the full plan year generally remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information.

CHAPTER 6: CONSUMER SUPPORT AND RELATED ISSUES

(This chapter relies on authority from ACA sections 1311(c) and (e) and 1321(a); PHS Act sections 2715 and 2719; and 45 CFR 147.136, 147.200, Part 155 Subpart C, and 156.1010.)

Section 1. Coverage Appeals

The approaches to coverage appeals remain unchanged from 2018 and later years. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information.

Section 2. Consumer Case Tracking

The approach to consumer case tracking remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information.

Section 3. Meaningful Access

This section summarizes the requirements and guidance that apply to QHP issuers (including SADP issuers) to ensure meaningful access by limited-English proficient (LEP) speakers and by individuals with disabilities.

In the 2018 Payment Notice Final Rule, CMS finalized changes to 45 CFR 155.205(c)(2)(iii)(A)
intended to reduce overlapping regulatory burden on Exchanges and QHP issuers in relation to
tagline requirements.35 This rule stated that Exchanges and QHP issuers will be deemed to be in
compliance with 45 CFR 155.205(c)(2)(iii)(A) if they are in compliance with 45 CFR 92.8.

In June 2020, the HHS Office for Civil Rights (OCR) published a final rule36 eliminating 45
CFR 92.8, and purporting to eliminate the taglines requirements on QHP issuers in 45 CFR
155.205(c)(2)(iii)(A). It should be noted that despite the repeal of 45 CFR 92.8, Section 1557
and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI) still requires covered entities to take
reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to their programs by individuals with limited
English proficiency (LEP). Therefore, in some cases, the provision of notices and taglines may
be necessary to ensure meaningful access by individuals with LEP.

However, in light of priorities to improve health equity and remove potential barriers that
underserved communities and individuals may face to enrollment in and access to benefits in
federal programs,37 we strongly encourage QHP issuers and Exchanges to continue to meet
tagline standards as set forth in the 2018 Letter to Issuers. HHS intends to issue future
rulemaking proposing to reaffirm and clarify these standards as requirements.

Section 4. Summary of Benefits and Coverage

The content of this section applies to all QHP issuers in the FFEs, including FFEs in states
performing plan management functions.

Medical QHP issuers are required to provide an SBC, in a manner compliant with the standards
set forth in 45 CFR 147.200, which implements section 2715 of the PHS Act, as added by the
ACA. Specifically, issuers must fully comply with the requirements of § 147.200(a)(3), which
requires issuers to “provide an SBC in the form, and in accordance with the instructions for
completing the SBC, that are specified by the Secretary in guidance.”

On November 7, 2019, CMS released an updated SBC coverage examples Calculator, Guide and
Narratives for coverage examples, SBC Template, and other associated resources (the 2021
SBC) for SBCs describing plans or policies effective on or after January 1, 2021. On February 3,
2020, the Departments of Health and Human Services, Labor, and the Treasury released updated
versions of the 2021 SBC Template and related materials, following the identification of minor
formatting issues, typographical errors, and inconsistencies across documents.38 These versions
replace the versions released on November 7, 2019. These updates ensure consistency across
2021 SBC materials and do not impact SBC guidelines and instructions. CMS also released a set
of FAQs pertaining to the applicability date of the updated SBC Template, Calculator, and

35 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2018;
Amendments to Special Enrollment Periods and the Consumer Operated and Oriented Plan Program, 81 FR 94058
(December 22, 2016).
36 Nondiscrimination in Health and Health Education Programs or Activities, Delegation of Authority, 85 FR 37160
(June 19, 2020).
37 See Executive Order On Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal
38 Available at: https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Forms-Reports-and-Other-Resources#Summary of Benefits and Coverage and Uniform Glossary.
related materials.  

The SBC Calculator is used by plans and issuers to generate cost-sharing estimates for coverage to treat three hypothetical medical scenarios (maternity care, type II diabetes, and a simple foot fracture) that are required to be included in the SBC. The Departments of Health and Human Services, Labor, and the Treasury updated the Calculator, Guide, and Narratives based on feedback from stakeholders in order to improve its functionality, flexibility, and accuracy. The updates replace 2013 Truven Health MarketScan® data with 2016 data, update some of the treatment protocols for the SBC coverage examples, and make changes to the Calculator’s logic to better align its underlying assumptions with how most plans and issuers apply cost sharing rules.

Use of the Calculator is not required. Plans and issuers may create their own calculator using the Guide and Narratives provided by HHS, or modify the logic of the Calculator to provide their own method of calculating estimated out-of-pocket costs for the Coverage Examples, which may be more accurate based on their particular plan or policy design.

The 2021 SBC Template and Instructions update the 2017 SBC Template and Instructions to remove the statement after the Minimum Essential Coverage (MEC) disclosure, “If you don’t have Minimum Essential Coverage for a month, you’ll have to make a payment when you file your tax return unless you qualify for an exemption from the requirement that you have health coverage for that month.” The new statement reads “Minimum Essential Coverage generally includes plans, health insurance available through the Marketplace or other individual market policies, certain Medicare and Medicaid coverage, CHIP, TRICARE, and certain other coverage. If you are eligible for certain types of Minimum Essential Coverage, you may not be eligible for the premium tax credit.” This statement was updated because, starting January 1, 2019, the tax payment required for not having MEC or a coverage exemption was reduced to zero. The Uniform Glossary is updated as well to remove the definition of “Individual Responsibility Requirement” and update the definition of “Minimum Essential Coverage” to reflect this change. The update also adds the “Total Example Costs” for each of the three coverage examples to the template.

Additionally, the 2021 SBC Template and Instructions have been updated to include an additional option for plans and issuers completing the minimum value disclosure on the SBC. As explained in the 2019 Letter to Issuers, the concept of minimum value is not relevant with respect to individual market coverage and such coverage cannot meet or fail to meet minimum value standards. In the 2019 Letter to Issuers, we stated that the Departments would not take enforcement action against issuers of individual market coverage that indicated “Not Applicable” or “N/A” for their response to the minimum value disclosure on the 2017 SBC Template. The 2021 SBC Template and Instructions update the Template to add “Not Applicable” as a response option on the SBC Template, such that the disclosure reads: “Does this plan meet the Minimum Value Standards? [Yes/No/Not Applicable].” The 2021 Instructions indicate that issuers of individual market coverage should answer “Not Applicable.”

---

Plans and issuers will be required to use the 2021 SBC Template and Instructions, the 2021 Guide and Narratives, and the 2021 Calculator, should they choose to use the Calculator, beginning on the first day of the first open enrollment period for any plan years (or, in the individual market, policy years) that begin on or after January 1, 2021, with respect to coverage for plan or policy years beginning on or after that date.\(^{40}\)

QHPs offered through the individual market Exchanges must use the 2021 SBC, the 2021 Guide and Narratives, and, should they choose to use the Calculator, the 2021 Calculator for SBCs prepared for the open enrollment period for the 2021 plan year, which runs from November 1, 2020, through December 15, 2020.

For direct enforcement states,\(^{41}\) SBCs are considered forms by HHS. Therefore, SBCs should be submitted to HHS for review in accordance with the form filing instructions in SERFF. Issuers operating in direct enforcement states are required to use the 2021 SBC, the 2021 Guide and Narratives, and, if the issuer chooses to use the Calculator, the 2021 Calculator for SBCs prepared for the open enrollment period for the 2021 plan year, and should submit their SBC materials to HHS in accordance with the form filing instructions in SERFF for the 2021 plan year. For issuers in other states, because states differ in their review of SBCs (e.g., some review them during form review, others may consider them to be marketing materials), issuers should follow applicable state guidelines for state enforcement activity with respect to SBCs where the state is enforcing SBC requirements.

Issuers must conform to the sample SBCs for American Indian/Alaska Native (AI/AN) zero and limited cost sharing plans.\(^{42}\) Additionally, CMS encourages QHP issuers to make SBCs for these plans available on the public portion of their websites, and encourages Exchanges that do not use the HealthCare.gov platform to provide pre-enrollment access to the SBCs for these plans.

**CHAPTER 7: TRIBAL RELATIONS AND SUPPORT**

This chapter relies on authority from ACA sections 1311(c) and (e) and 1321(a).

CMS guidance concerning Indian health care providers remains unchanged from 2018 and later years. For more information, please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers.\(^{43}\)

---


\(^{41}\) Currently, the states in which HHS is directly enforcing the SBC requirements are Missouri, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wyoming.

\(^{42}\) Sample SBCs for AI/AN zero and limited cost sharing plans are available as a resource to issuers available at: [https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Forms-Reports-and-Other-Resources/index.html#Summary%20of%20Benefits%20and%20Coverage%20Uniform%20Glossary](https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Forms-Reports-and-Other-Resources/index.html#Summary%20of%20Benefits%20and%20Coverage%20Uniform%20Glossary).

\(^{43}\) The model QHP Addendum for Indian health providers is available at: [http://www.cms.gov/ccio/programs-and-initiatives/health-insurance-marketplaces/qhp.html](http://www.cms.gov/ccio/programs-and-initiatives/health-insurance-marketplaces/qhp.html).